Invisible Diplomats: Intelligence Agencies in International Law and Foreign Policy

Reza Abbasi
University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary
Rezaabbasi567@yahoo.com

Abstract: *Intelligence agencies have evolved from covert security instruments into strategic diplomatic actors that influence negotiations, alliances, and international legal processes. This paper explores the role of intelligence in foreign relations, examines its interaction with international law, and analyzes the legal and ethical dilemmas posed by covert diplomacy. Through selected case studies—including Mossad's backchannel operations prior to the Abraham Accords and the CIA's Cold War contributions—the study highlights how intelligence can stabilize crises while simultaneously challenging norms of sovereignty and non-intervention. The paper proposes a conceptual model for understanding intelligence as a diplomatic tool within contemporary global governance.*
Keywords*: INTELLIGENCE DIPLOMACY, COVERT OPERATIONS, SOVEREIGNTY, MOSSAD, CIA, INTERNATIONAL LAW, BACKCHANNEL NEGOTIATIONS, NATIONAL SECURITY*

1. Introduction

Intelligence agencies constitute one of the most enigmatic branches of state power. Tasked with defending the nation against terrorism, cyber threats, disinformation, and organized crime, they operate in a realm where secrecy is both necessity and burden [1, 2]. Their quiet movements raise profound questions about legality, ethics, and accountability—particularly where surveillance and covert action intersect with human rights and international law [3, 4].

In contemporary geopolitics, intelligence is woven into the fabric of diplomacy. States increasingly rely on secret services to navigate crises, negotiate agreements, and cultivate influence where official diplomacy cannot tread [5, 6]. Yet these same activities often blur the boundaries of sovereignty, testing the resilience of long-standing legal principles.

This paper examines intelligence as an instrument of diplomacy. It explores the expanding role of secret services in foreign policy, the legal–ethical tensions that arise, and the consequences—both stabilizing and disruptive—of intelligence-driven international engagement.

2. Prerequisites and Means for Solving the Problem

Understanding intelligence as diplomacy requires integrating insights from international relations theory, legal analysis, and historical case studies.

2.1 Theoretical Foundations

Realism frames intelligence as a tool of survival, essential for maintaining power in an anarchic world. Liberalism views intelligence cooperation as a mechanism for stability and institutional trust. Constructivism highlights the role of narratives, secrecy, and identity in shaping how intelligence influences diplomatic behavior [7, 8].

2.2 Legal Context

International law remains largely silent on espionage; yet, covert operations test the edges of sovereignty, non-intervention, and the duty of states to respect privacy and human rights [4, 9]. The absence of explicit treaties governing intelligence leaves a grey zone in which states act, justify, and negotiate according to strategic necessity.

2.3 Operational Tools

Intelligence services employ several means relevant to diplomacy:

- **Backchannel communication**
- **Covert liaison with foreign agencies**
- **Strategic intelligence sharing**
- **Influence operations**
- **Crisis negotiation support**
- **Cyber intelligence capabilities**

These tools allow states to pursue diplomatic objectives where overt diplomacy would be politically fragile or legally constrained.

3. Solution of the Examined Problem

The core challenge is to explain how intelligence agencies become diplomatic actors without formal recognition as such.

3.1 Intelligence as a Diplomatic Channel

Mossad's covert outreach to the UAE—years before the Abraham Accords—illustrates how intelligence can substitute for absent diplomatic relations [10]. Intelligence-sharing on security threats built trust, opening the door to normalization.

3.2 Intelligence in Crisis Diplomacy

During the Cuban Missile Crisis, CIA reconnaissance shaped diplomatic decision-making and enabled backchannel exchanges that prevented nuclear escalation [11]. Intelligence functioned as both compass and shield, guiding negotiations while reducing uncertainty.

3.3 Intelligence as Strategic Influence

Modern intelligence increasingly shapes international agreements, sanctions regimes, counterterrorism partnerships, and cyber norms. Yet these activities also risk norm erosion: violations of sovereignty, disinformation, and covert interference undermine global trust [12].

4. Results and Discussion

Three core findings emerge:

4.1 Intelligence is Now an Integral Diplomatic Actor

It no longer stands outside diplomacy; it operates beside it, beneath it, and sometimes ahead of it. States acknowledge its necessity even if they deny its visibility.

4.2 Legal Ambiguity Is Both Strength and Danger

Because international law neither prohibits nor legitimizes espionage explicitly, intelligence can stabilize crises—but also violate rights and sovereignty with few legal remedies [4, 9].

4.3 Ethical Tension Shapes Future Governance

Covert diplomacy can prevent conflict, but it risks secrecy expanding beyond democratic oversight. Balancing national security with transparency and rule of law remains the central challenge for future governance [3].

5. Conclusion

Intelligence as diplomacy represents a quiet evolution in statecraft. Secret services have moved from shadows into the strategic core of foreign relations, contributing to crisis management, alliance formation, and global governance. Yet this expanded role carries risks: erosion of legal norms, diminished accountability, and the temptation to bypass diplomatic institutions.

A future framework—one that acknowledges covert diplomacy while reinforcing international law—will be necessary to harmonize security imperatives with the principles that sustain global order.

6: References

1. M.M. Lowenthal, *Intelligence: From Secrets to Policy*, 8th ed. (CQ Press, 2020).
2. A.N. Shulsky, G.J. Schmitt, *Silent Warfare: Understanding the World of Intelligence*, 3rd ed. (Potomac Books, 2002).
3. H. Born, I. Leigh, A. Wills, *Intelligence Oversight in Democratic Societies* (DCAF, Geneva, 2011).
4. S. Chesterman, *Mich. J. Int. Law* 28, 553–582 (2006).
5. R.J. Aldrich, *Int. Aff.* 80, 731–748 (2004).
6. D. Carment, A.A. Schay, *The Diplomacy of Conflict Management* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2013).
7. L.K. Johnson, *Spy Watching: Intelligence Accountability in the United States* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2017).
8. A. Deeks, *Harv. Law Rev.* 130, 413–458 (2016).
9. O.A. Hathaway, *Calif. Law Rev.* 100, 817–885 (2013).
10. U. Bar-Joseph, R. McDermott, *Intelligence Success and Failure: The Human Factor* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2016).
11. O.A. Westad, *The Cold War: A World History* (Basic Books, 2005).
12. E. Braw, *RUSI J.* 164, 10–19 (2019).