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Abstract: In this paper a general structure of an administrative process development is proposed. A block diagram showing the logical dependencies in the development is also given and analyzed as well as the basic structures for the variants to implement the core element “task” in an administrative processing. A concept for generalization in some of the administrative process stages is given as well as an approach to the most commonly executed internal procedure that is the approval one.
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1. Introduction

An administrative process can be approached as a sequence of events forming a finite set of discrete elements. In an environment of full information, that is a complete certainty onto activities duration and any other events considered otherwise as uncertainty being ignored or considered fully known a linear programming model can be evaluated. In specifics, a network model of an administrative process brings enough clarity onto the process’ development, required steps to fulfill the final result as well as evaluate the overall duration. Unfortunately, as any other real-life process, no matter if it’s a business or an administrative one, uncertainty of a different origin exists and it should be properly approached and modeled so the risks and the costs in the final result are evaluated. Here we make a review onto two approaches of dealing with uncertainty in the durations for each operation designating an activity in the process development.

In administrative proceedings, inefficient use (or management) of human resources is often observed as well as delays in the administrative implementation/performance of and management functions and tasks. This requires the search for methods and approaches to solve optimization problems in order to meet deadlines and improve efficiency and quality of management. While an administrative process is considered event-oriented, PERT (Project Evaluation and Review Technique) and CPM (Critical Path Method) methods are key tools for project management [1, 2] and case studies on feasibility and effectiveness are emerging in the research and work process. The demand for PERT structures, reflecting both the uncertainty of the duration and the complexity of the process, is not a novelty [3]. On the other hand, PERT network models, which contain a minimal number of fictitious operations [4], whose presence is noted as the main drawback of PERT, are also sought. The approaches to shortening the process’ overall duration and the consequences of its implementation are a key point in the research, especially in conditions of uncertainty [5].

2. Process structure and logical modeling

Administrative process stages

In documents management systems that are designed to deal with the correct structuring and data collection, documents concerning a similar problem (called "subject") are grouped in a file. So a file is the set of documents, there included the first one (initiating document) and all the other documents (intermediary documents) following it no matter of their origin. An intermediary document is also all of the correspondence between an administration and a client or another administration in the administrative process and final result of an administrative service.

The process starts with incoming documents and/or data.

1. The initial processing is called "Registration" and includes the following operations:
   • verification if the data for the addressee (correspondent, the client) is available and correct,
   • check if there are any other registered documents and procedures initiated with this client

![Fig. 1 Administrative process development stages](image-url)

- check whether the incoming document is somehow connected with any available files with this client,
- check if there is enough data to start the procedure directly - in order to reduce the unnecessary actions it is very common requests of a certain predefined type to be directly transferred to a predefined official. This idea is common for queue management systems where
servers are specialized in the processing of requests of a certain type and there is "a request redirecting/sorting server" before the queue member enters into the processing system.

- check whether the submitted document (i.e. the request) is in the statutory form
- check if the form is filled out correctly
- check the availability and the completeness of a set of attachments to the request legally required to start the process
- Targeting for follow-up action - to allocate, to start a procedure, to place a task for execution

2. Start the administrative service / procedure

3. Direct the data to a relevant official for further action

4. Cascade (successively) redirecting and assignment until the document reaches the actual expert according the procedure's specifics

5. The appropriate and competent expert on the problem described in the document and its attachments (also the other data available in the file) works. This stage ends up with a result that has two components - a report on the implementation of the assigned task and a material on its implementation. The material might be a document that will be sent outside of the administration, a report, an internal posture. The task implementation report is a statement that the job is done and it might contain few other tasks.

6. The result is redirected for a performance evaluation. In the case of a negative evaluation the result is returned to the employee for improvements until the evaluation is positive. An optimization aims also at a positive evaluation on the first iteration so that no returns for improvement are carried out. A clear and detailed description on the requirements of each task is a prerequisite for a positive evaluation.

7. After a positive evaluation, a conformity and reconciliation procedure might be carried out; this step figuratively is the process of the collection of a set of signatures (named also approval signatures) of officials that have to grant their approval and / or any subsequent generalization of the results obtained;

8. The process finishes with signing and registering the outgoing result (internal document in some cases of administrative procedures) of the administrative processing; the final step includes several options in the work technology, primarily concerning the order of the two sub-activities that is sign and register.

**Logical dependencies between the different development stages**

After the stages in the development (Fig. 1) are clearly defined the building of a block diagram of an administrative process follows. The block diagram shows the logical dependencies in the events. Fig. 2 illustrates the conditions that are checked in a general realization of the process described in the previous section with Fig 1. The existence of a certain condition in a process' development may serve as a basis into the structural classification of the different types of administrative services/processes for example whether a group of services contains an explicit approval procedure or not. On the other hand, the block diagram on Fig. 2 marks the conditions under which a certain stage in a process development can be curtailed (that is namely - "skipped").

3. **Tasks structure types**

The main goal in the implementation of a certain managerial administrative function is to assign tasks and functions to a specific, exactly specialized in its competence administrative unit or an official. One side of this effectiveness goal principle is the unambiguous determination of the unit (or official) itself, and the other component is the correct formulation of the task. The two aspects of the principle are difficult to consider separately since the properly formulated task can determine the structure on the one hand, and on the other the structure affects the formulation of the task, taking into account the particular organizational structure. Because of the fact that a certain task might be posed to a set of officials, whose work might be dependent of each other or not, and also that someone controls the work of the others it should be noted here that a "task" can also represent a set of tasks (named sub-tasks) connected in different ways and then this set of sub-tasks is designated as a composite/complex task.

![Fig. 2 Administrative process block diagram](image-url)

**Consecutive structure - successive realization**

A successive realization of a complex task is the consecutive assignment and execution of different sub-tasks, as a positive result from any previous one gives rise to the start of the next one in the chain as shown in Fig. 3. In such configuration, it is the positive evaluation of the last sub-task that marks the whole task as done.
Parallel structure - realization in series

The parallel structure is the realization when the assignment and execution of different and / or similar sub-tasks is done in series. The sub-tasks are placed at the same time and their execution runs regardless of the work on the other sub-tasks. The whole task is considered done when a positive assessment for each of the sub-tasks in its composition is available. If even one of the sub-tasks is not well-executed, all other sub-tasks are considered not done. This is one of the main problems in the performance reporting on complex tasks. Often in timing controls officials who have in fact received positive ratings (that have done all their work) "receive also" a certain number of tasks not-done because other officials have received negative evaluations.

Mixed structure - parallel and successive realization

The most common architecture is the mixed one. Usually there are several parallel tasks whose performance is evaluated in a single finalizing task. The finalizing unit has also the performance coordination and monitoring function for the implementation of the preceding parallel processes. It is responsible for the right-moment measures in order to avoid delays. It is also responsible for the overall performance quality and sometimes for summarizing the results from the preceding tasks. In the latter case, a task for the assessment of the summarizing sub-task may also follow but this is very rare in practice.

As a result of an optimization approach a semantically modified version of the mixed structure might be used. At the beginning there are one or more tasks in parallel to one or more officials. The implementation of each one finishes with materials and/or reports that are directed to the next official. The last official that receives a material must draw up a summary of all other materials received by him and this summary is the result of the composite task. This summary should pass the procedure up to a positive evaluation.

4. Approval procedure

The approval procedures are the most common distinct procedures in an administrative processing that appear in almost all services and procedures. This is a sub-process where certain officials approve or not the job done previously by other employees that mainly are their subordinates. As an example, a chief accountant should always approve a contract before it is finally signed, no matter of the fact that an accountant is not responsible for the legal value in the contract. There are also three configurations (successive, parallel and mixed) for the approval procedures as with the "task" according to the number of officials.
that have to grant their approval on the final result before it gets official. In many cases, a non-approval in this stage will lead back to the task's implementation and evaluation stage. So in such work technologies an event of a non-approval fires a preceding task status “un-done” and a starting point for the preceding stage.

**Successive structure of an approval procedure**

In the case of a successive structure, an approval signature shall be applied only in the presence of a preceding approval. If any of the participants is the approval procedure is prevented from explicitly stating this action, the completion of the whole stage is delayed.

In this realization all approval signatures are independent one to another (see Fig. 8). The approval procedure will finish only if all approvals are available, meaning that if only one of the officials does not approve the whole stage is revoked while one non-approval leads to the previous task implementation and evaluation stage.

**Parallel structure of an approval procedure**

In this realization all approval signatures are independent one to another (see Fig. 8). The approval procedure will finish only if all approvals are available, meaning that if only one of the officials does not approve the whole stage is revoked while one non-approval leads to the previous task implementation and evaluation stage.

![Fig. 7 Successive structure of an approval procedure](image)

![Fig. 8 Parallel structure of an approval procedure](image)

**5. Conclusion**

Generally in the administrative process modeling approach as an discrete-event process the aim is to achieve a complete determination of the administrative processes. If the correct answers are given to a few simple questions, a network model for each administrative process can be built while the general structure is carried out. The main issues a consultant has to face are:

- What kind of processes take place in an administrative structure? What is the legal basis regulating the progress of each process?
- What are the exact responsibilities of each official and how does its work influence the work of the other officials? That is to say for each participant to obtain full data about the documents and information he/she receives and from who.
- When performing specific tasks, what information is used and generated, where is it processed, stored and updated?

Subsequently, two important nomenclatures are created:

- List of procedures and related document types and / or tasks that trigger their execution;
- Nomenclature of the types of tasks to be performed, for each task of the nomenclature are described in detail the requirements for the final result, the deadlines and its performers.
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